



## ***Afterschool Alliance Backgrounder: Formal Evaluations of Afterschool Programs***

Although afterschool programs for children have been operating for many years in some communities, the afterschool movement – the great national awakening to the opportunity afterschool offers – is just a few years old. As public demand for afterschool grows, so has the demand for accountability. That is particularly true in afterschool programs that spend public dollars. After all, where tax dollars flow, so must accountability to taxpayers.

### **The Landscape of Afterschool Evaluations**

A number of different types of evaluations have been conducted over the last several years, assessing various aspects of afterschool programming. Some evaluations seek to gather data on whether programs have been structured as they were originally intended, how well they have done at meeting attendance and staffing goals, how they “fit” in the school environment and more. Others explore the effect afterschool programs have on the children who participate in them, their parents, and even the communities at large.

Both types of evaluations are of great value to afterschool providers and to policymakers, and when taken together the two types of studies help identify the particular program elements and approaches most critical to accomplishing program goals. It is useful, for example, to correlate information on student attendance at afterschool programs with student academic performance. Were an evaluator to conclude that attendance is key to academic gains, program designers might focus more energy on improving attendance for students.

Evaluations also differ by virtue of who conducts them. Many programs self-evaluate, providing useful data and satisfying the needs of their various stakeholders – parents, funders, partnering businesses, local public officials and so on. But for academics and large funders – the federal government, state governments, the Open Society Institute, the Charles Stewart Mott Foundation or the Dewitt Wallace-Reader’s Digest Fund, for example – more exacting standards and greater independence is often required. It is these independent evaluations that are the subject of this document.

Several studies are discussed in some detail on the pages that follow.

### **Summary Lessons from the Data**

The data and conclusions from these studies amply demonstrate the value of individual afterschool initiatives. (Citations for the following are included in the detailed descriptions of afterschool studies that follow.)

***Afterschool programs help kids achieve in school.***

- Evaluations of LA's BEST show that program students' attendance improved once they began participating in the program. That improved attendance led to higher academic achievement on standardized tests of math, reading and language arts. In addition, language redesignation rates favored LA's BEST students when compared with non-LA's BEST students.
- A statewide evaluation of California's After School Learning and Safe Neighborhoods Partnerships Program (ASLSNPP) by the University of California at Irvine demonstrated gains closely related to individual students' level of participation in the program: "Among students who participated for more than 150 days (approximately 7.5 months), there was an increase of 4.9 percent in students above the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile, an increase considerably larger than that found statewide. *The scores suggest that, particularly for students who participate in the ASLSNPP for substantial periods of time, there is a closing of the gap in Math achievement between low-income and other students.*" [Emphasis in original.]
- Children in the Ohio Urban School Initiative School Age Child Care Project (SACC) scored higher than non-participating students across the state. "SACC 4th grade students' scores exceeded the statewide percentages of students meeting proficiency standards in every subject area tested: writing, reading, mathematics, citizenship, and science. SACC 6th graders exceeded the statewide percentages of students meeting proficiency standards in four of the five areas: writing, reading mathematics, and citizenship."
- Reading scores for San Diego's "6 to 6" students improved. Fifty-seven percent of students increased their reading scores over the course of the studied year, and SAT-9 reading scores increased. Nearly ten percent of children moved up into the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile or higher in 2000 by comparison to 1999 reading scores.
- Forty-four percent of students in San Diego's "6 to 6" program increased their SAT-9 math scores.
- In Los Angeles' YS-CARE program, aimed at children from families on TANF, students' reading and mathematics gains outpaced those of non-participating students, as measured by SAT-9 scores.
- Policy Research Associates' evaluation of The Afterschool Corporation (TASC) program "found significant differences in proficiency-level shifts among active participants and nonparticipants who scored in the lowest proficiency level on the 1998-99 mathematics tests. In math, 31 percent of active participants scoring at the lowest proficiency level in 1998-99 scored at a higher proficiency level in 1999-2000, compared to 23 percent of nonparticipants who demonstrated the same improvement. Two percent of these active participants increased their performance to grade level, compared to 1 percent of nonparticipants. A similar but less pronounced pattern was observed on the reading tests administered in grades 3-8. Among those scoring at the lowest proficiency level in 1998-99, 45 percent of active participants improved their scores in 1999-2000 enough to move to a higher performance level, and 3 percent scored at grade level. Forty percent of nonparticipants who scored at the lowest proficiency level in 1998-99 increased their scores enough to move to a higher proficiency level a year later, and 2 percent reached grade level."

### ***Afterschool programs keep kids safe.***

- The LA's BEST evaluation found that parents and children alike found the safety of the afterschool program far superior to the safety within the neighborhood.
- The evaluation of the New York City Beacons program concluded that "the vast majority of youth (85 percent) reported that it was 'always true' or 'mostly true' that they felt safe at the Beacons."
- Eighty percent of New York Beacons students who took part in intercept interviews described the Beacon as either "very helpful" or "pretty helpful" in helping them avoid drug use.
- Seventy-four percent of New York Beacons students interviewed said that the Beacon was either "very helpful" or "pretty helpful" in helping them avoid fighting.
- In Ohio's SACC program, "school absence and tardiness were reduced for participating students. First graders who were not in a SACC program during kindergarten reduced the number of school days they missed from an average of eight during their kindergarten year to an average of three days during their 1998-99 1st grade year. Eighth graders who were not in a SACC program during 7th grade reduced the average number of school days missed from 18 to five." Similarly, "suspensions and expulsions, when comparing the 1998-99 school year to the prior school year, were reduced for both elementary school students and middle school students who participated in SACC programs."
- The TASC evaluation concluded that "staff, students, and parents provided examples of student improvements that they attributed to the after-school program. Among the most common were improvements in students' social skills, including the ability to maintain self-control, make constructive choices about their behavior, and avoid fights."

### ***Afterschool programs help working parents.***

- The LA's BEST evaluation found that "three-quarters of the parents [surveyed] indicated that they worried significantly less about their children's safety and that they had more energy in the evening since enrolling their children in the program. A clear majority also indicated that the program resulted in sizeable savings in their time.
- Parents in the TASC study said that the program helped them balance work and family life: 94 percent said the program was convenient; 60 percent said they missed less work than before because of the program; 59 percent said it supported them in keeping their job; and 54 percent said it allowed them to work more hours.
- In Ohio's SACC program, "the adults in the participating children's families had a greater awareness of community agencies, their facilities, and their services because of the SACC programs." Similarly, "parents participating in interviews or completing surveys felt the programs had positive impacts on their families."

## **Afterschool Evaluations in Detail**

A number of important afterschool evaluations have been conducted – more than enough to demonstrate that afterschool programs help children achieve, keep them safe, and help their working parents solve the difficult puzzle of child care. Following are summaries of several of the most extensive evaluations.

## ***LA's BEST***

Los Angeles's Better Educated Students for Tomorrow, or LA's BEST, is among the largest and best known afterschool programs in the nation. Launched in 1988 as a partnership between the Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD), the city of Los Angeles and the private sector, the program operates at 104 elementary schools, serving more than 18,000 students. Schools are chosen for participation because of the generally low academic achievement among their students, or because of the low economic status of the community, or high gang or crime rates in the neighborhood.

Since early in the life of the program, the UCLA Center for the Study of Evaluation has conducted evaluation studies. The studies have focused on a variety of topics, using a range of measures. The Center released its separate studies in March 1990, March 1991, July 1991, December 1993 and spring 1995. Then in June 2000, the Center released a comprehensive report summarizing each of the five previous studies and adding a rich set of findings based on its five-year tracking of the academic performance and school attendance of LA's BEST students who were in 2<sup>nd</sup> through 5<sup>th</sup> grades in the 1993-94 school year.

The study's description of its methodology: "To study LA's BEST schools, we obtained information about students including ethnicity, gender, language proficiency status, eligibility for free/reduced lunch (the proxy for low-income level) and disability status. In addition, we collected outcome data including achievement test scores (using either the *Comprehensive Test of Basic Skills* [CTBS] or the more recently adopted *Stanford-9 Achievement Test* [SAT-9] in reading, mathematics, and language arts. The rate at which students were redesignated as fully proficient in English was also collected. We also obtained school absence rates, course-taking patterns and rates of student mobility (moving between schools or out of the district)."

In addition, because of the size of the LA's BEST program and of the LAUSD school system, researchers were able to track an extraordinarily large sample of students and a correspondingly large "control" group – more than 4,000 LA's BEST students and more than 15,000 non-participating students. The sheer numbers of students tracked make the data produced highly reliable.

The findings, summarized at <http://www.lasbest.org/learn/eval.html> with a link to the complete study, are powerful evidence of the value of afterschool programming. In short, the study found that LA's BEST participants, defined as students who participated regularly and over a period of more than one year, when compared to non-participating students, were absent less from school, "show positive achievement on standardized tests in mathematics, reading and language arts," and had "higher language redesignation rates to English proficiency." [Quoting from LA's BEST's summary of the findings, at <http://www.lasbest.org/learn/eval.html>.] Specific findings:

- "[O]ur results show that higher levels of participation in LA's BEST led to better subsequent school attendance, which in turn related to higher academic achievement on standardized tests of mathematics, reading and language arts." [A *Decade of Results: The Impact of the LA's BEST After School Enrichment Program on Subsequent Student Achievement and Performance*, a longitudinal study report and a synthesis of research begun in 1990 by the UCLA Center for the Study of Evaluation with support from the Bandai Foundation and the

City of Los Angeles. June 2000. Denise Huang, Barry Gribbons, Kyung Sung Kim, Charlotte Lee, Eva L. Baker. Page 7.]

- “Language redesignation rates favored LA’s BEST students when compared with non-LA’s BEST students for the cohort analyzed (fourth grade, 1994-1995). Significant differences in favor of LA’s BEST students were found for subsequent redesignation rates in Grades 6 and 8. No significant differences were found in comparing performance for Grades 5 and 7.” [Decade of Results at page 8.]
- “Absence follow-up data for the fifth-grade cohort (1994-1995) showed that students who participated in LA’s BEST had significantly fewer absences in Grades 6 and 7, although no differences were detected in Grades 8 and 9.” [Decade of Results at page 8.]
- “Although in the initial year LA’s BEST students began with statistically significant mathematics achievement scores lower than those of non-participants, in 1997-1998 those differences no longer existed.” [Decade of Results at page 9.]
- “[T]hree-quarters of the parents [surveyed] indicated that they worried significantly less about their children’s safety and that they had more energy in the evening since enrolling their children in the program. A clear majority also indicated that the program resulted in sizeable savings in their time. [Decade of Results at page 14.]
- “Across the board, parents and children alike found the safety of the afterschool program far superior to the safety within the neighborhood.” [Decade of Results, page 14.]

Researchers conclude: “From our perspective, it looks as if LA’s BEST is a program that, when followed as a regular part of students’ broad educational experience, results in statistically important differences in student outcomes. The fact that we can detect *any* change on standardized achievement measures in itself is notable, for most educational interventions are unable to show impact on measures not tightly tied to the curriculum, or on follow-up achievement after a particular program is over. On a practical level, LA’s BEST needs to focus its attention on increasing the attendance of enrolled students. It may be that high-level attenders do so because they and their parents are more highly motivated, and this interest transfers to achievement. But it is equally likely that coming to school and to the LA’s BEST program regularly is the reason for good performance and persisting impact subsequent to leaving LA’s BEST.” [Decade of Results at pages 9-10.]

### ***The New York City Beacons Initiative***

Launched in 1991, the Beacons Initiative seeks to link community-based organizations and schools in service of children. Programs offer activities for children and families, and seek to function as community resources for parents and seniors, as well. The model has been duplicated in many cities around the nation.

The New York program is the subject of a two-phase evaluation by the Academy for Educational Development, the Hunter College Center on AIDS, Drugs and Community Health, and the Chapin Hall Center for Children at the University of Chicago. The first phase examined program operations in 1997-98; the second, not yet published, will deal with 1998-2000, exploring similar issues in greater depth. The evaluation is funded by the Annie E. Casey Foundation, the Open Society Institute and the Ford Foundation. Evaluators stress that the study is not specifically aimed at documenting student outcomes, although some material of that sort may be useful to

advocates, particularly from the second phase research. The evaluators' summary report is available online at <http://www.aed.org/news/beacons.html>.

Phase One findings include:

- “The Beacons have clearly created a safe place for youth: the vast majority of youth (85 percent) reported that it was ‘always true’ or ‘mostly true’ that they felt safe at the Beacons.” [*Evaluation of the New York City Beacons, Summary of Phase I Findings*, <http://www.aed.org/news/beacons.html>.]
- “Close to three-fifths of Beacons (57 percent) involve young people in a diverse array of community service activities, at least once monthly.” [*Evaluation*.]
- “Four-fifths of youth (80 percent) who took part in intercept interviews described the Beacon as either ‘very helpful’ or ‘pretty helpful’ in helping them avoid drug use.” [*Evaluation*.]
- “Three-quarters (74 percent) of youth interviewed said that the Beacon was either very helpful or pretty helpful in helping them avoid fighting.” [*Evaluation*.]

### ***The California Afterschool Learning and Safe Neighborhoods Partnerships Program***

Begun in 1998, California's Afterschool Learning and Safe Neighborhoods Partnership Program (ASLSNPP) provides \$117 million annually in matching funds to local partnerships of school districts, community groups, and local governments to provide before and afterschool programs for students. In selecting grantees, the state gives priority to schools where 50 percent or more of pupils are eligible for free or reduced-cost meals. Programs are designed locally, but are required to include an “educational and literacy component to provide tutoring or homework assistance in one or more of the following subject areas: language arts, mathematics, history and social science, or science”; and “an educational enrichment component, which may include but is not limited to, recreation and prevention activities. Such activities might involve the arts, music, physical activity, health promotion, and general recreation; work preparation activities; community service-learning; and other youth development activities based on student needs and interests.” [“California's Before and After School Learning and Safe Neighborhoods Partnerships Program Fact Sheet,” at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/afterschool/aspfactsheetapr02.doc>, September 3, 2002.] In all, 947 afterschool programs were funded during the ASLSNPP's first two years.

Working with the California Department of Education, the Education Department of the University of California at Irvine conducted evaluations of two academic years of the program, from 1999 to 2001, releasing results in February 2002. The evaluation relied on data supplied to the state by participating programs, as required by law, and examined student and parent satisfaction with their programs, as well as students' academic outcomes.

Findings included:

- SAT-9 scores of participating students increased faster than those of students statewide. In reading, 4.2 percent of afterschool students moved from out of the lowest 25 percent of their classes. “This increase is more than twice the increase found among all students statewide (1.9 percent)...” [“Evaluation of California's After School Learning and Safe Neighborhoods Partnerships Program,” Department of Education, University of California at Irvine, February 1, 2002, page 4.] In math, similar findings: 2.5 percent of afterschool participants

moved out of the lowest quartile, compared with 1.9 percent statewide. [“Evaluation,” page 6.]

- Significantly, gains were closely related to individual students’ levels of participation in the program. “Among students who participated for more than 150 days (approximately 7.5 months), there was an increase of 4.9 percent in students above the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile, an increase considerably larger than that found statewide. *The scores suggest that, particularly for students who participate in the ASLSNPP for substantial periods of time, there is a closing of the gap in Math achievement between low-income and other students.*” [“Evaluation,” page 6. Emphasis in original.]
- “The regular school day attendance of students in the ASLSNPP increased between 1999 and 2000. Among the ASLSNPP participants who were absent 5 percent or more days in 1999, the average increase in attendance was 5.6 days. Among those who were absent 10 percent or more days in 1999, the average increase in attendance was 11 days. For those absent 15 percent or more days in 1999, the average increase in attendance was 17 days.” [Harvard Family Research Project, Summary of ASLSNPP Evaluation, <http://www.gse.harvard.edu/~hfrp/projects/afterschool/mott/aslsnpp.pdf>, September 3, 2002.]

### ***The After-School Corporation***

The After-School Corporation (TASC) is a New York City-based nonprofit, established by the Open Society Institute in 1998, representing a \$25 million five-year commitment by the foundation. TASC provides grants to nonprofit organizations to establish partnerships with individual public schools, and the resulting afterschool programs follow a core set of program components. In all, 143 public schools in New York City and 73 schools in other parts of New York State participate. Funding is based on enrollment and is \$1,000 per student, excluding start-up, facilities and staff training.

The Charles Stewart Mott Foundation and the Carnegie Corporation have provided funding to the Washington-based Policy Studies Associates to conduct a five-year evaluation, including annual summary reports. The first year’s evaluation, covering the 1998-99 school year, focused largely on issues related to program design and participation. Among its findings:

- “Parents’ reasons for enrolling their children in the TASC after-school program include (1) making sure their children have a safe place to go after school and (2) wanting to help their children perform better in school, which tends to include obtaining extra help for their children in reading and math and with daily homework.” [*Increasing and Improving After-School Opportunities: Executive Summary*, [http://www.tascorp.org/pages/promising\\_es1.pdf](http://www.tascorp.org/pages/promising_es1.pdf), page 13.]
- “According to site coordinators, the most challenging areas of implementation included (1) hiring qualified staff, (2) recruiting and enrolling students, (3) encouraging high levels of student attendance and tracking student participation, (4) developing schedules and curricula, (5) building relationships with the school and school staff, (6) building staff cohesiveness, (7) establishing policies for project operations, (8) managing fiscal operations, and (9) reaching out to families and communities.” [*Increasing and Improving After-School Opportunities: Executive Summary*, [http://www.tascorp.org/pages/promising\\_es1.pdf](http://www.tascorp.org/pages/promising_es1.pdf), page 13.]

The second year evaluation, covering the 1999-2000 year found:

- “Students reported feeling safe, relaxed, happy, and connected to their after-school program. When asked what they especially liked, they mentioned being with their friends, completing their homework before going home, and participating in activities that differed from those of the regular school day.” [*Building Quality and Supporting Expansion of After-school Projects, Summary of Findings*,” [http://www.tascorp.org/pages/promising\\_es2.pdf](http://www.tascorp.org/pages/promising_es2.pdf), page 12.]
- “[S]taff, students, and parents provided examples of student improvements that they attributed to the after-school program. Among the most common were improvements in students’ social skills, including the ability to maintain self-control, make constructive choices about their behavior, and avoid fights.” [*Building Quality*, page 12.]
- The evaluation “found significant differences in proficiency-level shifts among active participants and nonparticipants who scored in the lowest proficiency level on the 1998-99 mathematics tests. In math, 31 percent of active participants scoring at the lowest proficiency level in 1998-99 scored at a higher proficiency level in 1999-2000, compared to 23 percent of nonparticipants who demonstrated the same improvement. Two percent of these active participants increased their performance to grade level, compared to 1 percent of nonparticipants. A similar but less pronounced pattern was observed on the reading tests administered in grades 3-8. Among those scoring at the lowest proficiency level in 1998-99, 45 percent of active participants improved their scores in 1999-2000 enough to move to a higher performance level, and 3 percent scored at grade level. Forty percent of nonparticipants who scored at the lowest proficiency level in 1998-99 increased their scores enough to move to a higher proficiency level a year later, and 2 percent reached grade level.” [*Patterns of Student-Level Change Linked to TASC Participation Based on TASC Projects in Year 2, Executive Summary*, <http://www.policystudies.com/studies/youth/Y2%20Performance%20Executive%20Summary.pdf>, page 4.]
- “Forty-five percent of principals in Year 2 reported that the TASC project has increased parents’ attendance at school events and 36 percent said that the project had increased parents’ attendance at parent-teacher conferences.” Ninety-seven percent of parents surveyed indicated that “their child liked to come to the program”; 86 percent agreed “that the project was helping their child academically.” Parents also said that the program helped them balance work and family life: 94 percent said the program was convenient; 60 percent said they missed less work than before because of the program; 59 percent said it supported them in keeping their job; and 54 percent said it was supportive to them in allowing them to work more hours. [*Building Quality*, page 15.]

### ***Houston’s After-School Achievement Program (ASAP)***

In 1997, Houston’s After-School Achievement Program began providing significant funding for afterschool programs in the city. The program has grown steadily since, and in the 2000-2001 academic year, it provided \$2.3 million to 95 sites. ASAP has six programmatic goals: to reduce crime committed by and against juveniles; to prevent delinquency; to provide a safe, supervised place for youth; to provide academic enhancement and enrichment; to promote school attendance and discourage school drop-out; and to motivate youth to develop good citizenship.

The program has been evaluated annually by independent evaluators, with the most recent study conducted by Dennis W. Smith, Ph.D. and James J. Zhang, P.E.D, covering the 2000-2001 school year. Among their findings:

- “In both science and fine arts, ASAP participants improved significantly over the course of the school years compared with students not in the ASAP. While student achievement in the remaining nine subject areas [reading, other language arts, mathematics, social studies, handwriting, physical education, health and safety, computers and science lab] was not significantly different between the ASAP and non-ASAP groups, the post-test mean scores for ASAP participants clearly indicated improvement over the span of the program year.” [“Shaping our Children’s Future: Keeping a Promise in Houston Communities, 2001, Year 4 Evaluation of the After-School Achievement Program,” page 4, published by ASAP. Contact: Jennifer Brimer, ASAP Coordinator, 713-437-6981.]
- Surveys conducted for the evaluation found that 22 percent of parents of ASAP children said “their children would be by themselves” without ASAP, and “close to 16 percent of parents said that their children would be watched by a sibling.” [“Shaping,” page 6.]

### ***San Diego’s ‘6 to 6’ Extended School Day Program***

San Diego has developed one of the nation’s most ambitious afterschool programs, with the goal of making affordable programs before and after school available to every elementary and middle school student in the City of San Diego.

Two significant evaluations of the program have been conducted, one an interim report by WestED, released in April 2001, the other by Hoffman Clark and Associates released in July 2001.

Using random sampling of sites, document review, interviews, focus groups and site observations, WestED found:

- Parents expressed high levels of satisfaction with the program, “including their perceptions of the quality of academic enrichment, the degree to which children looked forward to the program, communication with staff, success at helping children complete homework, and the promotion of positive behavior in children.” [Harvard Family Research Project website at <http://www.gse.harvard.edu/~hfrp/projects/afterschool/mott/sd66esdp.pdf>, hereafter HFRP-SD.]
- “Almost two-thirds of responding parents noticed improvements in their children’s academic performance.” [HFRP-SD.]

Relying on random sampling of program participants and reviewing a variety of data, WestED’s study concluded:

- Reading scores for “6 to 6” students improved. Fifty-seven percent of students increased their reading scores over the course of the studied year, and SAT-9 reading scores increased. [HFRP-SD.]
- Nearly ten percent of children moved up into the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile or higher in 2000 by comparison to 1999 reading scores. [HFRP-SD.]

- Forty-four percent of students increased their SAT-9 math scores. [HFRP-SD.]

### ***Ohio Urban School Initiative School Age Child Care Project***

The Urban School Initiative School Age Child Care Project (SACC) funds a variety of afterschool programs in Ohio urban school districts. The University of Cincinnati College of Education's Evaluation Services Center conducted a thorough review of the program's 1998-1999 school year, measuring both project design and its outcomes. Data collection included document reviews, observation of programs, surveys and questionnaires. Among the findings:

- "Ohio Proficiency Tests scores for both 4th and 6th graders showed that SACC children exceeded the state-wide percentages of students meeting proficiency standards. SACC 4th grade students' scores exceeded the statewide percentages of students meeting proficiency standards in every subject area tested: writing, reading mathematics, citizenship, and science. SACC 6th graders exceeded the statewide percentages of students meeting proficiency standards in four of the five areas: writing, reading mathematics, and citizenship." [Harvard Family Research Project at <http://www.gse.harvard.edu/~hfrp/projects/afterschool/mott/osisaccp.pdf>, hereafter HFRP-Ohio.]
- "School absence and tardiness were reduced for participating students. First graders who were not in a SACC program during kindergarten reduced the number of school days they missed from an average of 8 during their kindergarten year to an average of 3 days during their 1998-99 1st grade year. Eighth graders who were not in a SACC program during 7th grade reduced the average number of school days missed from 18 to 5." [HFRP-Ohio.]
- "Suspensions and expulsions, when comparing the 1998-99 school year to the prior school year, were reduced for both elementary school students and middle school students who participated in SACC programs." [HFRP-Ohio.]
- "School buildings housing SACC programs were in use more hours of the day and weeks of the year because of these programs." [HFRP-Ohio.]
- "The adults in the participating children's families had a greater awareness of community agencies, their facilities, and their services because of the SACC programs." [HFRP-Ohio.]
- "Parents participating in interviews or completing surveys felt the programs had positive impacts on their families." [HFRP-Ohio.]
- "Participating children spent more hours in a safe, supervised environment, before and/or after school, than they had prior to program involvement." [HFRP-Ohio.]
- "Participating children's television and video viewing decreased because of attendance in this program." [HFRP-Ohio.]

### ***YS-CARE After School Program for California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids***

The YS-Care After School Program was established in 1999 by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors. The afterschool program is "designed to offer a safe environment that includes academic assistance, homework help, enrichment activities, recreation, and quality childcare provided by caring adults in well-supervised school site environments." [Evaluation of the YS-CARE After School Program For California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKS), March 2002, at <http://www.gse.uci.edu/asp/asperal/resources/YSCARE13.pdf>, page 5, hereafter YS-CARE Evaluation.] The program is targeted at K-5 children attending schools in neighborhoods with high concentrations of families receiving Temporary Assistance

to Needy Families (TANF). The Department of Education, University of California at Irvine and Research Support Services conducted a formal evaluation of the program, and released findings in March 2002.

The evaluation compared the test scores and behavior of participating students with a comparable group of non-participating students. The study's chief conclusions:

- “YS-CARE participants had larger gains on SAT-9 Reading and SAT-9 Math scores than non-participants.”
- “YS-CARE participants had larger gains on Reading Achievement than matched non-participants.”
- “YS-CARE participants initially in the lowest decile reading group had significantly larger reading gains than matched non-participants.”
- “YS-CARE participants had significantly lower scores on all Work and Study Habits and Citizenship measures at baseline. The participants narrowed the gap by the time of the end-of-year ratings, with almost half of the initial differences substantially smaller.” [YS-CARE Evaluation, pp. 5-6]

### ***The Extended-Service Schools Initiative: 2002 Report***

In 1998, the Extended-Service Schools Initiative (ESS) began funding community organizations across the country to partner with local schools to create a total of 60 afterschool programs in 20 communities. Each of the programs follows one of four nationally recognized program models – Beacon, Bridges to Success, Community Schools, or West Philadelphia Improvement Corporation – to provide youth-development activities in low-income areas in programs located in school buildings during non-school hours. ESS was funded as a five-year program by Wallace-Reader's Digest Funds. Separately, the Wallace-Reader's Digest Funds provided financial support to Public/Private Ventures (PPV) to conduct an evaluation of the program. PPV, with subcontractor Manpower Demonstration Research Corporation, began a four-year, multi-phase evaluation. Issued in June 2002, *Multiple Choices: Findings from the Extended-Service Schools Initiative*, is available online at <http://www.ppv.org/content/reports/ess-multi-full.html>.

According to researchers, “Students who participated in the school-based, afterschool programs seemed to experience positive change in four key areas: staying out of trouble; improving their school attitudes and behavior; strengthening their social networks; and learning new skills, seeing new possibilities and improving their self-confidence.” [*Multiple Choices*, page 30, <http://www.ppv.org/content/reports/ess-multi-full.html>.] Specifically:

- “One particularly important outcome desired for after-school programs is that they decrease the risktaking behavior of youth. By providing them with structured, supervised activities, the time they have to get into trouble is decreased. In addition, the social rules and tone implemented by staff can teach youth to deal more appropriately with negotiation, social conflict and anger. When we asked parents and youth if ESS helped them stay out of trouble and more appropriately deal with conflict, both groups—but especially the parents — believed ESS was very useful in this regard.” [*Multiple Choices*, page 31.]

- “Given that most of the ESS programs were new and the levels of participation were well below five days a week, it was not thought likely that we would observe changes in grades or test scores. However, to gauge whether ESS was starting to have positive academic effects, we asked parents and youth if they thought the program helped the youth do better in school. In addition, we measured some “leading indicators” of academic improvement (a sense of academic mastery and the level of school effort) to ensure that we did not miss an important change if one had occurred. As Table 7 illustrates, approximately two-thirds of the youth believed the program helped them do better in school, and it was even more likely that the parents found the program helpful to their children in this way.” [*Multiple Choices*, page 32.]
- “Interestingly, the parents’ survey responses are consistent with the expected pathway of change that could ultimately lead to increased academic success. High percentages of parents felt that ESS helped their children like school more and try harder in school, factors that may lead to learning more and doing better.” [*Multiple Choices*, page 32.]
- “When we examined how the youth’s academic attitudes and behaviors changed over time, we found a consistent story. Youth who participated in ESS activities experienced a greater increase in their sense of belonging at school and paid more attention in class. Again, consider the two groups of similar youth. . . . [A]mong the youth who did not go to ESS during the 13 months between the initial and follow-up surveys, 20 out of 100 reported that they started skipping school, 29 said they really paid attention in class, and 76 said they were very proud to belong to their school. Among similar youth who went to ESS two days a week, only 11 out of 100 reported starting to skip school; 49 said they really paid attention in class; and 84 said they were very proud to belong to their school.” [*Multiple Choices*, page 32.]
- “Responses on the parent survey administered in Spring 2001 suggest that the after-school programs were having some of these beneficial outcomes: 80 percent of parents said they were less worried about their child’s safety after school. 57 percent said their child’s participation helped them manage their own work schedule. 47 percent said it let them attend classes or job training more easily. 45 percent said it helped them get a better job or do better at their job.” [*Multiple Choices*, pages 33-34.]

\* \* \* \*

Two other recent evaluations offer important insights into the barriers afterschool programs face.

***The MOST Initiative: Making the Most of Out-of-School Time***

The MOST Initiative is a multi-year project of the National Institute on Out-of-School Time (NIOST) at the Wellesley Centers for Women, funded by Wallace-Reader’s Digest Funds. The project is aimed at improving the quality and availability of out-of-school-time programming for children, particularly low-income children, in three major American cities – Boston, Chicago and Seattle. In addition to funding the project, Wallace-Reader’s Digest Funds also funded a comprehensive evaluation of the project, conducted by the Chapin Hall Center for Children at the University of Chicago. A summary of the findings of the two-phase evaluation is available at <http://www.wellesley.edu/WCW/CRW/SAC/most.html>. Its findings address, among other things, the need for adequate funding for afterschool programs:

- The first phase of the evaluation, completed in 1997, found that MOST contributed to the supply of school-age care in the cities, making “moderate to substantial progress toward the goal of increasing the supply of slots.”
- In the final evaluation, completed and released in 2000, researchers noted the wide range of afterschool providers in the three cities, and observed that private nonprofits are the largest providers. They observed further that just a small share of the cities’ low-income children were in afterschool programs, and concluded that inadequate public financing of afterschool programs led to an insufficiency of slots and depressed parental demand. The study also cited as barriers transportation difficulties, a lack of parental awareness of afterschool programming, and the lack of parental wherewithal to seek out and enroll children in programs. Finally, the shortage of public financing also was found to create staffing and programmatic problems that hurt the overall quality of afterschool programming.

(Under the leadership of Mayor Thomas Menino, Boston has since launched a large-scale public-private partnership to significantly increase its afterschool funding.)

### ***The Extended-Service Schools Initiative: 2000 Report***

As noted earlier, the Extended Services Schools Adaptation Initiative (ESS) funds community organizations across the country to partner with local schools to create and support 60 afterschool programs in 20 communities. The Wallace-Reader’s Digest Funds provided funding for ESS, and for a separate evaluation of the program, conducted by Public/Private Ventures (PPV) and subcontractor Manpower Demonstration Research Corporation. The 2002 report from PPV examined a number of topics, including student outcomes, covered earlier in this background. Earlier reports from PPV explored a number of programmatic issues, casting light on the range of challenges afterschool programs confront. Several of those discussed in PPV’s 2000 report on ESS are highlighted below.

- Issues related to physical space in the school building can have a profound impact on the success of the program. Afterschool programs must sometimes compete for facilities with other activities and events. Relationships with school personnel, including administrators and janitorial staff can be critical. Principals sometimes deny access to already overused and underfunded facilities. [*Extended Services in Schools: Putting Programs in Place*, <http://www.ppv.org/pdf/ess.pdf>, pages 34-39.]
- The most disadvantaged children are very difficult to reach. ESS programs reach thousands of disadvantaged children, but within poor neighborhoods, the poorest children are the most difficult to reach and involve in afterschool. The programs have worked to develop creative strategies for overcoming this barrier. [*Putting Programs in Place*, page 27.]
- Transportation is the most difficult challenge facing the programs. Limited availability of buses, unsafe neighborhoods, and distances between schools and homes all combine to make transportation a difficult problem. [*Putting Programs in Place*, page 40-42.]

The Afterschool Alliance is a nonprofit public awareness and advocacy organization supported by a group of public, private, and nonprofit entities dedicated to ensuring that all children and youth have access to afterschool programs by 2010. The Alliance is proud to count among its founding partners the C.S. Mott Foundation, U.S. Department of Education, JCPenney Afterschool, Open Society Institute/The After-School Corporation, the Entertainment Industry Foundation and the Creative Artists Agency Foundation. DC Office: 202/347-2030 Flint Office: 810/239-3449